

THE UPPER CRITICAL DIMENSION OF THE KPZ EQUATION

Michael Lässig¹ and Harald Kinzelbach²

¹ *Max-Planck-Institut für Kolloid- und Grenzflächenforschung, Kantstr. 55, 14513 Teltow, Germany*

² *Universität Heidelberg, Institut für theoretische Physik, Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany*
(August 7, 1996)

The strong-coupling regime of Kardar-Parisi-Zhang surface growth driven by short-ranged noise has an upper critical dimension $d_>$ less or equal to four (where the dynamic exponent z takes the value $z(d_>) = 2$). To derive this, we use the mapping onto directed polymers with quenched disorder. Two such polymers coupled by a small contact attraction of strength u are shown to form a bound state at all temperatures $\beta^{-1} \leq \beta_c^{-1}$, the roughening temperature of a single polymer. Comparing the singularities of the localization length $\xi_\perp \sim u^{1/(2-d)}$ at β_c^{-1} and $\xi_\perp \sim u^{1/2(1-z)}$ below β_c^{-1} then yields $d_> \leq 4$.

PACS numbers: 5.70.Ln, 64.60.Ht, 68.35.Fx

The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [1] has been introduced as the simplest nonlinear evolution equation

$$\partial_t h(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nu \nabla^2 h(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\nabla h(\mathbf{r}, t))^2 + \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (1)$$

for a continuous “height field” $h(\mathbf{r}, t)$ driven by Gaussian noise $\eta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ with $\langle \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \eta(\mathbf{r}, t) \eta(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = \sigma^2 \delta^d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t')$. It appears ubiquitously in a number of nonequilibrium statistical problems such as fluid dynamics or dissipative transport, as well as in systems with quenched disorder, for example flux lines in a dirty type-II superconductor (see [2] for reviews).

The morphology of a growing surface governed by (1) is well known in low spatial dimensions. The asymptotic scaling of the spatio-temporal height correlations,

$$\langle (h(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1) - h(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2))^2 \rangle \sim r^{2\chi} \mathcal{C}(t/r^z) \quad (2)$$

(with $r \equiv |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ and $t \equiv |t_1 - t_2|$) defines the *roughness exponent* χ and the *dynamic exponent* z . In the Gaussian dynamics ($\lambda = 0$), one has $\chi = (2 - d)/2$ and $z = 2$. For $\lambda \neq 0$, Galilei invariance imposes the relation $\chi + z = 2$ [3]. In dimensions $d \leq 2$, any small nonlinearity $(\lambda/2)(\nabla h)^2$ is a relevant perturbation of the Gaussian theory and leads to new values of the exponents ($\chi = 1/2$, $z = 3/2$ in $d = 1$ [4] and $\chi \approx 0.386$, $z \approx 1.612$ in $d = 2$ [5]). In the renormalization group, there is a crossover between the Gaussian fixed point, which is (infrared-)unstable, and the *strong-coupling* fixed point, which is stable. For $d > 2$, a Gaussian surface is smooth. A small nonlinearity $(\lambda/2)(\nabla h)^2$ does not alter this asymptotic scaling. There is now a roughening transition to the strong coupling regime at finite values

$\pm \lambda_c$ [6–8]. In the renormalization group, the transition is represented by a third fixed point. This *critical* fixed point is unstable and appears between the Gaussian fixed point and the strong-coupling fixed point which are now both stable [9,10]. The critical point is characterized by the dimension-independent critical exponents $\chi^* = 0$ and $z^* = 2$ [11,12].

It is a notorious difficulty of the strong-coupling regime that above dimension one its properties are inaccessible to any known systematic approximation, let alone to an exact solution. In particular, renormalized perturbation theory with an ε -expansion about $d = 2$ fails to produce a strong-coupling fixed point [12]. This feature, which the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation shares with other driven systems such as fully developed turbulence, is a major open problem in nonequilibrium statistical physics. In this letter, we discuss a (modest) step towards its eventual solution. We find that the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation has an *upper critical dimension* $d_> \leq 4$, where the exponents in the strong-coupling regime take the values $z = 2$ and $\chi = 0$, equal to those governing the limit $d \rightarrow \infty$ [13,14] (and thus presumably the whole interval $d_> \leq d < \infty$). As $d_>$ is approached from below, the exponents tend to these values continuously. Hence, the upper critical dimension could serve as the starting point for a controlled expansion. However, the reader should be cautioned that the name “upper critical dimension” may be misleading since $d_>$ does not mark the borderline to simple mean-field behavior as in the standard theory of critical phenomena, but instead to an even more complicated state in high dimensions with presumed glassy characteristics [14,15].

Even the existence of a finite upper critical dimension has been very controversial. Numerical work seems to indicate that a strong coupling phase with nontrivial exponents $z < 2$, $\chi > 0$ persists in dimensions $d = 3, 4, \dots, 7$ [5]. Various theoretical arguments, on the other hand, favor the existence of a finite upper critical dimension $d_>$. This is the case for functional renormalization group calculations [16], which are supported by a Flory-type argument [17], and for a $1/d$ -expansion based on a solution on the Cayley-tree [7] (criticized, however, in [3]). Recent work treating the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang dynamics in mode-coupling approximation gives contradictory results, predicting values of $d_>$ between 3 and 4 [18,15] or $d_> = \infty$ [19].

In contrast to all these approaches, the arguments of this Letter are not based on any uncontrolled approxi-

mation scheme. We use the known equivalence of the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation to a system of directed polymers and compare *exact* (and individually testable) physical properties of this system in the strong-coupling phase and at the roughening transition. The importance of $d = 4$ for the roughening critical point has already been stressed recently [12,20]. For $2 \leq d \leq 4$, this universality class is accessible by renormalized perturbation theory, which produces the exponents $\chi^* = 0$ and $z^* = 2$ exactly to all orders. At $d = 4$, however, there are singularities in some observables (for example, in the exponent y^* defined below).

Via the well-known Hopf-Cole transformation,

$$\exp \left[\frac{\lambda}{2\nu} h(\mathbf{r}_f, t_f) \right] = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{r} \delta(\mathbf{r}(t_f) - \mathbf{r}_f) \exp [-\beta \mathcal{H}] \quad (3)$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_0^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \right)^2 - \lambda \eta(\mathbf{r}(t), t) \right], \quad (4)$$

the Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation can be mapped onto the equilibrium problem of a directed polymer $\mathbf{r}(t)$ living in the quenched random potential $\lambda \eta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ at temperature $\beta^{-1} = 2\nu$. The polymer is characterized by its transversal displacement

$$\overline{(\mathbf{r}(t_1) - \mathbf{r}(t_2))^2} \sim |t_1 - t_2|^{2\zeta} \quad (5)$$

and by free energy quantities like the ‘‘Casimir’’ term

$$\bar{f}_c(R) \equiv \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \partial_T (\bar{F}(T, R) - \bar{F}(T, \infty)) \sim R^{(\omega-1)/\zeta} \quad (6)$$

in a system of longitudinal size T and transversal size R . (Averages over the disorder are denoted by overbars, thermal averages by brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$.) The asymptotic scaling in (5) and (6) is related to the growth exponents by $\zeta = 1/z$ and $\omega = \chi/z$; the scaling relation due to Galilei invariance now reads $\omega = 2\zeta - 1$. In the strong-coupling regime for $d < d_>$, the polymer becomes superdiffusive ($\zeta > 1/2$), and its free energy acquires an anomalous dimension $\omega > 0$. These disorder-induced fluctuations persist in the zero-temperature limit, that is, in the ensemble of minimum energy paths $r_0(t)$. In the weak-coupling (high-temperature) regime for $d > 2$, thermal fluctuations dominate ($\zeta = 1/2$) and hyperscaling is preserved ($\omega = 0$). The roughening transition between these two phases takes place at a finite temperature β_c^{-1} . At $d = d_>$ (and probably for $d \geq d_>$), the exponents $\zeta = 1/2$ and $\omega = 0$ govern the low-temperature phase as well.

In its mapping to directed polymers, it is a natural extension of the model to study an ensemble of lines $r_i(t)$ that live in the same random potential and are coupled by direct mutual forces [22–24]. The simplest case is that of just two lines with the interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_I = -u \int dt \Psi(t) \quad (7)$$

in terms of the local pair field $\Psi(t) \equiv \delta(\mathbf{r}_1(t) - \mathbf{r}_2(t))$. For $d > 2$, this interaction is irrelevant at the Gaussian fixed point governing the weak-coupling regime. However, for $d < d_>$, it turns out to be relevant both at the strong-coupling fixed point [24] and at the transition fixed point. Thus for all temperatures $\beta^{-1} \leq \beta_c^{-1}$, an attractive contact interaction ($u > 0$) leads to a bound state, suppressing the thermally activated relative fluctuations of the lines on scales $|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| \gtrsim \xi_\perp$. The transversal localization length $\xi_\perp$ has the singularities

$$\xi_\perp \sim u^{-\zeta/y} \quad \text{with } y = 1 - \omega \quad (8)$$

in the low-temperature phase ($\beta^{-1} < \beta_c^{-1}$), and

$$\xi_\perp \sim u^{-1/2y^*} \quad \text{with } y^* = (d-2)/2. \quad (9)$$

at the critical temperature β_c^{-1} . Of course, for any $u > 0$ kept fixed, $\xi_\perp$ should increase with temperature, since the amount of entropic fluctuations increases. This implies $y/\zeta \geq 2y^*$, that is, an upper bound on the free energy exponent, $\omega \leq (4-d)/d$. Our main result $d_> \leq 4$ then follows immediately. We now discuss the arguments leading to Eqns. (8) and (9) above.

(a) *Strong coupling phase:* At low temperatures and for $\omega > 0$, two noninteracting lines ($u = 0$) in the same random potential have a stationary pair distribution $P(r) \equiv \lim_{t, T-t \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}_1(t) - \mathbf{r}_2(t) - \mathbf{r}) \rangle}$ given by

$$P(r) = \beta_R^{-1} r^\theta \quad \text{with } \theta = -d - \omega/\zeta \quad (10)$$

in a suitable normalization [21,24]. The nonintegrable short-distance singularity is cut off on scales $r \lesssim \tilde{\xi}_\perp$, where $\tilde{\xi}_\perp \sim \beta_R^{-\zeta/\omega}$ is the crossover length to the asymptotic strong-coupling behavior [25]. Thus with finite probability, the two lines share a common ‘‘tube’’ of width $\tilde{\xi}_\perp$ along the minimum energy path $r_0(t)$ for a single line; this probability approaches one in the limit $\beta_R^{-1} \rightarrow 0$. In that sense, the ground state $r_0(t)$ is *unique* [27]. At finite temperatures, however, the lines make thermally activated individual excursions on all length scales from the tube $r_0(t)$. These excursions generate the power law distribution (10), all positive integer moments of which diverge.

Additional interactions between the lines probe the uniqueness of the ground state as well as the statistics of the low energy excursions [28]. For example, a mutual repulsion (7) with $u < 0$ forces one of the lines onto a distant excited path. (In superconductors, this is important to stabilize a dilute ensemble of flux lines in the strong-coupling regime against collapse.) For the purpose of this Letter, it is more useful to study a weak attractive interaction ($u > 0$), which localizes the two lines to each other. The normalized bound state distribution has the form $P(r, \xi_\perp) = N^{-1}(\xi_\perp) P(r) \mathcal{F}(r/\xi_\perp)$; on scales $r \gtrsim \xi_\perp$, it falls off exponentially as given by the scaling function $\mathcal{F}$, but in the scaling regime $r \ll \xi_\perp$, its

dependence on $\xi_\perp$ originates only from the overall normalization $N(\xi_\perp) \equiv \int d^d r P(r) \mathcal{F}(r/\xi_\perp)$.

Pair interactions have been treated in ref. [24] by renormalized perturbation theory for the Hamiltonian (7), based on the short-distance expansion $\Psi(t)\Psi(t') \sim \beta_R^{-1} |t - t'|^{-\omega} \Psi(t)$ at the strong-coupling fixed point of noninteracting lines ($u = 0$). For $u > 0$, one finds a bound state with the localization length singularity (8). This is in agreement with results in $d = 1$ from numerical work [22,23] and from the dynamic renormalization of an extended Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [29].

It is instructive to cast the field-theoretic derivation of ref. [24] into the form of a variational scaling argument: a weak bound state has a localization length that minimizes its free energy per unit of t , balancing the free energy gain $\delta \bar{f}_u$ from the overlap of the two lines with the loss $\delta \bar{f}_c$ due to the confinement of their relative fluctuations [30]. The overlap free energy is proportional to the stationary expectation value of the pair field,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \bar{f}_u &= -u \overline{\langle \Psi \rangle} \sim -u N^{-1}(\xi_\perp) \\ &\sim -u [1 + O(\beta_R^{-1} \xi_\perp^{-\omega/\zeta})]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The confinement energy has to vanish in the limit $\beta_R^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, where all relative fluctuations of the lines are suppressed even without attractive forces. Therefore, instead of the temperature-independent Casimir energy $\xi_\perp^{(\omega-1)/\zeta}$ analogous to (6), one expects

$$\delta \bar{f}_c \sim \beta_R^{-1} \xi_\perp^{-1/\zeta}, \quad (12)$$

a term that is analytic in the scaling variable β_R^{-1} and respects hyperscaling [32]. The variation of $\delta \bar{f}_u + \delta \bar{f}_c$ with respect to $\xi_\perp$ then leads to (8).

(b) *Roughening transition:* In contrast to the strong-coupling fixed point, the renormalization group for the critical fixed point in $2 < d < 4$ is well understood. The polymer partition function (3) has been shown to be *one-loop renormalizable* [12,20]. It is this property that produces the exact dimension-independent exponents $\chi^* = 2$ and $z^* = 2$; these values agree with previous results from numerical work in $d = 3$ [33], from dynamic renormalization group calculations to one-loop [9] and two-loop [10] order, and from scaling arguments [11]. At the critical point λ_c , small variations of λ are a relevant perturbation of dimension $y^* = (d - 2)/2$ (with t as the basic scale) [12]. The local field conjugate to λ , $\Phi_\eta(t) \equiv \int d^d \mathbf{r}' \eta(\mathbf{r}', t) \delta^d(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}')$, encodes the random potential evaluated along the polymer paths. This field generates the crossover from the critical fixed point to the strong-coupling fixed point ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$) and to the Gaussian fixed point ($\lambda = 0$). It is now a purely formal matter to relate its disorder-averaged correlation functions to those of the pair field Ψ for $u = 0$ [24,26]. The simplest example is the stationary one-point function $\langle \Phi_\eta \rangle(R)$ obtained from the free energy per unit of t ,

$\bar{f}(R) = -\beta^{-1} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \partial_T \log \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})(T, R)$. With the disorder correlation and the pair interaction regularized on the microscopic scale a , $\overline{\eta(\mathbf{r}', t') \eta(\mathbf{r}'', t'')} = \sigma^2 \delta_a(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'') \delta(t' - t'')$ and $\overline{\Psi_a(t)} \equiv \delta_a(\mathbf{r}_1(t) - \mathbf{r}_2(t))$, the universal parts [34] of $\langle \Phi_\eta(t) \rangle = \langle \Phi_\eta \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi_a \rangle$ are seen to be proportional:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-1} \overline{\langle \Phi_\eta \rangle} &= -\lambda^{-1} \partial_\lambda \bar{f} \\ &= -\lambda^{-2} \sigma^2 \int d^d \mathbf{r}' d^d \mathbf{r}'' \delta_a(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'') \overline{\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta \eta(\mathbf{r}', t) \delta \eta(\mathbf{r}'', t)}} \\ &= \beta \sigma^2 \int d^d \mathbf{r}' d^d \mathbf{r}'' \delta_a(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'') \overline{\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}'') \rangle^c} \\ &= -\beta \sigma^2 \int d^d \mathbf{r}' d^d \mathbf{r}'' \delta_a(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'') \overline{\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}') \rangle \langle \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}'') \rangle} \\ &= -\beta \sigma^2 \overline{\langle \Psi_a \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

At $\lambda = \lambda_c$, the correlation functions of Φ_η are scale-invariant with the exponent $x^* = 1 - y^* = (4 - d)/2$. By (13), the same holds for the correlation functions of Ψ_a ; for example,

$$\overline{\langle \Psi_a \rangle}(R, \lambda_c) \sim \overline{\langle \Phi_\eta \rangle}(R, \lambda_c) \sim R^{-x^*/\zeta^*}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, the local pair interaction $\Psi_a(t)$ is like $\Phi_\eta(t)$ a relevant scaling field of dimension x^* at the critical fixed point. This result is consistent with the one-loop dynamic renormalization group discussed in ref. [29]. We conclude that the bound state generated by this interaction has the localization length singularity (9) at β_c^{-1} [35]. Just below that temperature, there is a crossover from (9) to (8) at the scale $u \sim \beta_c^{-1} - \beta^{-1}$.

The singularity (9) can again be obtained from a variational scaling argument. At β_c^{-1} , the competing free energy contributions analogous to (11) and (12) are the overlap term

$$\delta \bar{f}_u = -u \overline{\langle \Psi_a \rangle} \sim -u \xi_\perp^{-x^*/\zeta^*} = -u \xi_\perp^{d-4} \quad (15)$$

following from (14) and a Casimir term of the form (6),

$$\delta \bar{f}_c \sim \xi_\perp^{(\omega^*-1)/\zeta^*} = \xi_\perp^{-2}. \quad (16)$$

It is tempting to speculate about the nature of the strong-coupling regime in high dimensions. Below $d_>$, the uniqueness of the ground state implies a collapse of the pair distribution function $P(r)$ in the limit $\beta_R \rightarrow 0$, see Eq. (10). This property may be lost in a glassy phase. If many states remain accessible to the system, long-ranged pair correlations $P(r) \sim r^\theta$ may persist even as $\beta_R \rightarrow 0$. Thus certain characteristics of the strong-coupling regime may qualitatively resemble those at the roughening transition below $d_>$.

- [1] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 889 (1986).
- [2] J. Krug and H. Spohn, *in* Solids Far From Equilibrium, ed. C. Godrèche (Cambridge, 1990); T. Halpin-Healy and Y.-C. Zhang, Physics Reports **254**, 215 (1995).
- [3] D.S. Fisher and D.A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 10728 (1991).
- [4] D. Forster, D.R. Nelson, and M. Stephen, Phys. Rev. A **16**, 732 (1977).
- [5] T. Ala-Nissila et al., J. Stat. Phys. **72**, 207 (1993), and references therein.
- [6] J.Z. Imbrie and T. Spencer, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 609 (1988).
- [7] J. Cook and B. Derrida, Europhys. Lett. **10**, 195 (1989); J. Phys. A **23**, 1523 (1990).
- [8] M.R. Evans and B. Derrida, J. Stat. Phys. **69**, 427 (1992).
- [9] L.-H. Tang, T. Nattermann, and B.M. Forrest, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2422 (1990); T. Nattermann and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 7156 (1992).
- [10] E. Frey and U.C. Täuber, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 1024 (1994).
- [11] C.A. Doty and J.M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1979 (1992).
- [12] M. Lässig, Nucl. Phys. B **448**, 559 (1995).
- [13] B. Derrida and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. **51**, 817 (1988).
- [14] M. Mézard and G. Parisi, J. Phys. I (France) **1**, 809 (1991).
- [15] M.A. Moore et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4257 (1995).
- [16] T. Halpin-Healey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 445 (1989); Phys. Rev. A **42**, 711 (1990); T. Nattermann and H. Leschhorn, Europhys. Lett. **14**, 603 (1991).
- [17] M. Feigelman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2303 (1989).
- [18] M. Schwartz and S.F. Edwards, Europhys. Lett. **20**, 310 (1992); A. Bouchaud and M. Cates, Phys. Rev. E **47**, R1455 (1993); Phys. Rev. E **48**, 635 (1993); J.P. Doherty et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2041 (1994).
- [19] Y. Tu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3109 (1994).
- [20] R. Bundschuh and M. Lässig, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 304 (1996).
- [21] T. Hwa and D. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 3136 (1994).
- [22] M. Mézard, J. Phys. (France) **51**, 1831 (1990).
- [23] L.-H. Tang, J. Stat. Phys. **77**, 581 (1994).
- [24] H. Kinzelbach and M. Lässig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2208 (1995).
- [25] The “renormalized” temperature β_R [26], a nonlinear function of the bare continuum variables $\beta^{-1}, \lambda, \sigma^2$ in (3) and (4), is an irrelevant scaling variable of dimension $-\omega$ at the strong-coupling fixed point. If the theory is defined on a lattice with lattice constant a , it is to be identified with the physical temperature in the strong-coupling limit $\tilde{\xi}_{||} \sim a$.
- [26] H. Kinzelbach and M. Lässig, J. Phys. A **28**, 6535 (1995).
- [27] The uniqueness of the ground state holds in almost all realizations of the disorder; see the discussion in [3,21] and the results of [23].
- [28] Such interactions have been introduced in the replica theory of spin glasses to study replica symmetry breaking. See, G. Parisi and M.A. Virasoro, J. Physique (France) **50**, 3317 (1989).
- [29] S. Mukherji, Phys. Rev. E **50**, R2407 (1994).
- [30] L. Balents and M. Kardar, Europhys. Lett. **23**, 503 (1993), generalize a scaling argument of this kind by T. Nattermann and R. Lipowsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2508 (1988), and apply it to a single line $\mathbf{r}_1(t)$ localized to a rigid defect $\mathbf{r}_2(t) = 0$. This bound state has a normalized distribution function $P_1(r, \xi_\perp) = \mathcal{F}_1(r/\xi_\perp)$ without any singularity r^θ , due to translational invariance of a single line for $u = 0$. Eq. (11) then becomes $\delta f_u \sim \xi_\perp^{-d}$, and this is to be compared with the temperature-independent Casimir term $\delta f_c \sim \xi_\perp^{(\omega-1)/\zeta}$. One obtains the singularity $\xi_\perp \sim u^{-\zeta/y_1}$ with $y_1 = 1 - d\zeta - \omega$ for $d < 1$, again in agreement with field-theoretic considerations [31,26].
- [31] T. Hwa and T. Nattermann, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 455 (1995).
- [32] This modification can be understood heuristically by noting that the Casimir pressure originates essentially from critical fluctuations being cut off on the scale $r \sim \xi_\perp$. Compared to the case of a single line [30], it should therefore be reduced by a factor $P(r = \xi_\perp, \xi_\perp)/P_1(r = \xi_\perp, \xi_\perp) \sim \xi_\perp^{-\omega/\zeta}$, reflecting the reduced probability of a critical fluctuation of size $r \sim \xi_\perp$.
- [33] B.M. Forrest and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1405 (1990); L.-H. Tang, B.M. Forrest, and D.E. Wolf, Phys. Rev. A **45**, 7162 (1992).
- [34] In replacing the connected thermal correlator in the third line of (13) by the disconnected product in the forth line, we have suppressed the full correlator $\langle \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}'') \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}'')$, which contributes only the divergent contact term $\beta \sigma^2 \delta_a(0)$ to the r.h.s..
- [35] It should be noted that the same exponent governs two Gaussian lines ($\lambda = 0$) coupled by a *finite* contact attraction: $\xi_\perp \sim (u - u_c)^{-1/y^*}$ for $u > u_c > 0$. See, R. Lipowsky, Europhys. Lett. **15**, 703 (1991).